
Further Reading

General

Borman, Geoffrey D. "Title I: The Evolving Research Base," *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk* 5 (2000), 27-45.

Center on Education Policy. *From the Capital to the Classroom: State and Federal Efforts to Implement the No Child Left Behind Act*. Washington, D.C., January 2003.

———. *From the Capital to the Classroom: Year 2 of the No Child Left Behind Act*. Washington, D.C., January 2004.

Congressional Research Service. *Elementary and Secondary Education: Reconsideration of the Federal Role by the 107th Congress*. Washington, D.C., December 31, 2001.

Davenport, Ernest C., Mark Davison, and Yi-Chen Wu, *Adequate Yearly Progress Simulation: Final Report*. Minneapolis: Office of Educational Accountability, University of Minnesota, January 26, 2004.

Education Commission of the States. *No Child Left Behind: The Challenges and Opportunities of ESEA 2001*. Denver, CO, March 2002.

Erpenbach, William J., Ellen Forte-Fast, and Abigail Potts. *Statewide Educational Accountability Under NCLB*. Washington, D.C.: Council of Chief State School Officers, July 2003.

Grissmer, David, Ann Flanagan, Jennifer Kawata, and Stephanie Williamson. *Improving Student Achievement: What State NAEP Test Scores Tell Us*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND, 2000.

Hill, Richard K. and Charles A. DePascale. *Reliability of No Child Left Behind Accountability Designs*. Dover, NH: National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment, February 7, 2003.

Linn, Robert L., Eva L. Baker, and Damian W. Betebenner. "Accountability Systems: Implications of Requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001," *Educational Researcher* 31, no. 6 (August/September 2002), 3-16.

Marion, Scott, Carole White, Dale Carlson, William J. Erpenbach, Stanley Rabinowitz, and Jan Sheinker. *Making Valid and Reliable Decisions in Determining Adequate Yearly Progress*. Washington, D.C.: Council of Chief State School Officers, December 2002.

Minnesota Department of Children, Families, and Learning. *Consolidated Plan for the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)*. Roseville, MN, June 12, 2002.

Minnesota Department of Education. *Consolidated State Application Accountability Workbook*. Roseville, MN, January 31, 2003.

_____. *Consolidated State Application: September 1, 2003 Submission*. Roseville, MN, September 1, 2003.

Minnesota House of Representatives, Research Department. *Federal and State Testing Requirements for K-12 Public School Students*. St. Paul, November 2002.

Schwarz, Richard D., Wendy M. Yen, and William D. Schafer. "The Challenge and Attainability of Goals for Adequate Yearly Progress," *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice* 20, no. 3 (Fall 2001), 26-32.

U.S. Department of Education. *Improving Teacher Quality State Grants, Title II, Part A: Non-Regulatory Guidance*. Washington, D.C., January 16, 2004.

_____. *LEA and School Improvement: Non-Regulatory Guidance*. Washington, D.C., January 7, 2004.

_____. *No Child Left Behind: A Desktop Reference 2002*. Washington, D.C.: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, September 2002.

_____. *Public School Choice: Draft Non-Regulatory Guidance*. Washington, D.C., December 4, 2002.

_____. *Report Cards, Title I, Part A: Non-Regulatory Guidance*. Washington, D.C., September 12, 2003.

_____. *Standards and Assessments: Non-Regulatory Draft Guidance*. Washington, D.C., March 10, 2003.

_____. *Supplemental Educational Services: Non-Regulatory Guidance*. Washington, D.C., August 22, 2003.

NCLB Costs

AccountabilityWorks, prepared for The Josiah Bartlett Center for Public Policy. *Financial Impact of the No Child Left Behind Act on the State of New Hampshire & Review of the Cost Analysis of the NHSAA*. Concord, NH, February 2003.

Driscoll, William and Howard Fleeter. *Projected Costs of Implementing the Federal "No Child Left Behind Act" in Ohio: A Detailed Financial Analysis Prepared for the Ohio Department of Education*. Columbus, OH: Levin, Driscoll, and Fleeter, December 12, 2003.

Mathis, William J. *The Federal "No Child Left Behind" Law: Should Vermont Take the Money?* Vermont Society for the Study of Education, October 22, 2002.

_____. "No Child Left Behind: Costs and Benefits," *Phi Delta Kappan* 84, no. 9 (May 2003), 679-686.

National Association of the State Boards of Education (NASBE). "Cost of President's Testing Mandate Estimated as High as \$7 Billion," <http://www.nasbe.org/archives/cost.html>.

National Center on Education Finance, National Conference of State Legislatures. "Adequacy and Education Finance," <http://www.ncsl.org/programs/educ/PubsAdequacy.htm>.

New Hampshire School Administrators Association. *Analysis of Cost Impact of ESEA–No Child Left Behind Act on New Hampshire*. Penacook, NH, November 19, 2002.

Peyser, James, and Robert Costrell. "Exploring the Costs of Accountability: Claims that the No Child Left Behind Act Represents an 'Unfunded Mandate' Wilt Under Close Scrutiny," *Education Next* (Spring 2004), 23-29.

Rebarber, Thomas, and Thomson W. McFarland. *Estimated Cost of the Testing Requirements in the No Child Left Behind Act*. AccountabilityWorks, February 2002.

U.S. General Accounting Office. *Title I: Characteristics of Tests Will Influence Expenses: Information Sharing May Help States Realize Efficiencies*. Washington, D.C., May 2003.