Representativeness of Survey Respondents

assess whether survey respondents were representative of the population of local government units, we compared their characteristics with those of all local governments in Minnesota. As the following tables show, respondents closely resemble their respective populations.

Comparison Between All Counties and Those Represented in the Survey

| | <u>Statewide</u> | Survey Respondents |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Region | | |
| Central | 31% | 31% |
| Metropolitan | 8 | 9 |
| North ['] | 28 | 30 |
| South | 33 | 30 |
| Population | | |
| Fewer than 10,001 | 17% | 17% |
| 10,001 20,000 | 29 | 27 |
| 20,001 30,000 | 17 | 20 |
| 30,001 50,000 | 17 | 13 |
| 50,001 100,000 | 10 | 11 |
| More than 100,000 | 9 | 13 |
| Population Growth, 1997-98 | | |
| Yes | 62 | 63 |
| No | 38 | 38 |

NOTE: Geographic region is based on the state's economic development regions. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Comparison Between All Cities with at Least 10,000 Residents and Those Represented in the Survey

| | <u>Statewide</u> | Survey Respondents |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Region | | |
| Central | 8% | 6% |
| Combination | 1 | 3 |
| Metropolitan | 68 | 71 |
| North . | 6 | 3 |
| South | 17 | 18 |
| Population | | |
| 10,000 13,000 | 15% | 11% |
| 13,001 17,000 | 20 | 24 |
| 17,001 21,000 | 17 | 16 |
| 21,001 30,000 | 16 | 16 |
| 30,001 50,000 | 18 | 21 |
| More than 50,000 | 15 | 13 |
| Population Growth, 1997-98 | | |
| Yes | 82% | 83% |
| No | 18 | 18 |

NOTE: Geographic region is based on the state's economic development regions. The combination category includes cities whose boundaries include counties in two or more regions. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Comparison Between All Cities with Fewer Than 10,000 Residents and Those Represented in the Survey

| | <u>Statewide</u> | Survey Respondents |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Region | | |
| Central | 32% | 36% |
| Combination | 1 | 2 |
| Metropolitan | 11 | 7 |
| North | 26 | 24 |
| South | 31 | 32 |
| Population | | |
| Fewer than 501 | 48% | 43% |
| 501 1,000 | 20 | 24 |
| 1,001 2500 | 17 | 19 |
| 2501 5000 | 9 | 8 |
| 5001 - 7500 | 4 | 3 |
| 7501 – 10,000 | 3 | 4 |
| Population Growth From 1997 to 1998 | | |
| Yes | 48% | 48% |
| No | 52 | 52 |
| | | |

NOTE: Geographic region is based on the state's economic development regions. The combination category includes cities whose boundaries include counties in two or more regions. Statewide figures for population growth are based on our random sample and not the statewide population. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Comparison Between All Towns and Those Represented in the Survey

| | <u>Statewide</u> | Survey Respondents |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Region | | • |
| Central | 32% | 33% |
| Metropolitan | 3 | 5 |
| North | 37 | 32 |
| South | 28 | 30 |
| Population | | |
| Fewer than 501 | 70% | 67% |
| 501 1,000 | 19 | 18 |
| 1,001 1500 | 5 | 7 |
| More than 1500 | 6 | 8 |

NOTE: Geographic region is based on the state's economic development regions. Estimates of town populations for 1998 were not available so no comparison on population growth could be made. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.